**THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE V. ESWARAIAH**

**WRIT PETITION NO.5108 of 2006**

**DATED: 16.03.2006**

Between:

Akula Jangaiah …. Petitioner

AND

The Mandal Revenue Officer,

Chinthapalli Mandal, Nalgonda District

and others ….Respondents

**THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE V. ESWARAIAH**

**WRIT PETITION NO. 5108 of 2006**

**ORDER:**

Questioning the inaction of the respondents in not issuing the pattadar pass book

and title deed book in favour of the petitioner in spite of his representation dated 23-01-2006 in respect of the land in Survey Nos.899/1, 900/1 and 900/1 to the extent of acres 11.27 guntas and not correcting the names in pattadar and possessor column by mutating the name of Akula Jangaiah, S/o. Akula Chandraiah, as illegal and arbitrary, petitioner filed this writ petition.

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government pleader for the respondents and perused the material on record.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the father of the petitioner late Akula Chandraiah was the owner and possessor of the patta land in Survey No.899/1 in an extent of Ac.2.12 guntas, in survey No.900/1 an extent of Ac.5.38 guntas and in Survey No.900/1 in an extent of Ac.3.16 guntas respectively totaling to Ac.11.27 guntas situated at Vinjamur village, Chinthapalli Mandal, Nalgonda District and the name of the father was shown in the revenue records. It is stated that the petitioner inherited the said property after the death of his father and cultivating the same personally but he has not applied for mutation of his name in the revenue records. Even after the death of his father also, his father's name was only shown as pattadar in the revenue records. But for some years, petitioner gave the above said lands to his relative one Kalwa Agamma for cultivation. As such, the name of said Kalwa Agamma was shown as possessor in the possessors column for some period, but later from 1993-94 onwards petitioner's father's name was shown in both pattadar and possessor columns. It is further stated that from 1996-97 onwards, the name of one Mididoddi Latchaiah was shown in possessor column in the revenue records in respect of the petitioner's lands. But the said Latchaiah was not at all concerned with the land. It is stated that after going through the entries in the pahani in different names and in the name of said Mididoddi Latchaiah, petitioner gave a representation to the Mandal Revenue Officer, Chinthapalli Mandal on 23-01-2006 for correction of entries. But the same has not been considered and disposed of.

I am not inclined to express any opinion as to whether the petitioner is the owner and possessor and whether the said Akula Chandraiah (father of the petitioner) has also got right, title and interest over the said property.

Having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, as an application was filed by the petitioner before the first respondent, first respondent is directed to consider and dispose of the said representation of the petitioner dated 23-01-2006 by giving

notice to the petitioner and all the persons concerned whose names were found in possessor column and also to the interested persons and pass appropriate orders in accordance with law.

_____

V. ESWARAIAH, J.

Dated: 16-03-2006

rns